SIMMONS JANNACE DELUCA, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Counsel 43 CORPORATE DRIVE USDC SDNY HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK 1 788-2048 Kevin P. Simmons Susan B. Jannace Steven D. Jannace Ross M. Chinitz^ Sal F. Del.uca^ (631) 873-4888 | DOCUMENT Allison C. Leibowitz FAX (631) 873-4889ELECTRONICALLY FILED Michael C. Lamendola* DOC#: *Also Admitted NJ Daniel P. Borbet 'Also Admitted CT Irina Feferman* DATE FILED: lan E. Hannon

Matthew C. Maloney Via ECF

Michael C. Hayes

Thomas J. Jannace

Honorable Judge Colleen McMahon United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

Stayed denied. The Summan judgment motion will not be addressed until defendant has been deposed. Street again. All discovery including defendant's deadline of October of 2022.

Ampong, Dora v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, 2022.

August 29, 2022

Docket No.: 1:21-cv-02049 (CM)

Dear Hon. Judge McMahon:

office defendant, This represents Costco Wholesale Corporation s/h/a Costco Wholesale Corp. ("Costco"), connection with the above-referenced Costco matter. respectfully submits the following application requesting a stay of the remaining fact and expert discovery deadlines, pending a decision of Costco's recently filed motion for summary judgment (D.E. # 51-54).

To date, the parties have exchanged paper discovery. Plaintiff's deposition was completed on June 30, 2022. physical examination of plaintiff was conducted on August Pursuant to the July 26, 2022 Scheduling Order, defendant's expert disclosure is due September 2, 2022 and all discovery is to completed by October 7, 2022.

By staying the remaining deadlines until after Costco motion for summary judgment is decided, it will afford the parties the opportunity to potentially avoid significant legal expense that may ultimately be rendered unnecessary by an award of summary judgment.

In speaking with counsel, Jeannie I. Rivera on August 29, 2022 about Costco's intended application for a stay, counsel Honorable Judge Colleen McMahon United States District Court August 29, 2022 Page 2

advised that plaintiff is opposed to a stay as she feels it is necessary to complete defendant's deposition in order to oppose Costco's motion. Costco respectfully disagrees. The basis of Costco's motion is plaintiff's inability to establish her prima facie case of negligence in light of the undisputed video of the accident. As more fully set forth in Costco's motion, the video (which was disclosed well prior to the motion being filed) unequivocally demonstrates that plaintiff did not trip over the carpet as testified to by plaintiff, and sworn to in her Supplemental Response to Interrogatories. Moreover, the video shows that plaintiff's failure to look where she was walking is the sole proximate cause of the accident. Plaintiff cannot point to any evidence in Costco's possession that plaintiff needs to oppose the objective video evidence, which plaintiff conceded in her Response to Request for Admissions depicts the accident.

For these reasons, Costco respectfully requests a stay of the current fact and expert discovery deadlines. There have been no prior requests to stay deadlines.

Thank you for the opportunity to address the Court in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ian E. Hannon Ian E. Hannon

IEH/mfb

cc: Via ECF

Jeannie I. Rivera, Esq.

Mirman, Markovits & Landau, PC